

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

DIRECTTV, INC.)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-)
)
 KIM CASEMORE, ET AL,)
)
 Defendants.)

Case No. 03C 3452

DOCKETED
JUL 01 2003

FILED

JUN 30 2003

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT



ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

NOW COMES the Defendant, KIM CASEMORE, by and through her attorney, A.

JOSEPH FIELD, and in answer to this Complaint, states as follows:

1. Defendant acknowledges Plaintiff's preliminary statement in Paragraph 1 of its Complaint, however, denies that any of the allegations set forth therein may apply to her directly.
- 2-5. Defendant acknowledges Plaintiff's Paragraphs 2, 3, 4 and 5 with regard to the factual information contained therein but denies that any of the allegations apply directly to her.
6. Defendant admits that She is a resident of the State of Illinois but denies the remaining allegations contained in Plaintiff's Paragraph 6.
7. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Plaintiff's Paragraph 7.
8. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Plaintiff's Paragraph 8.



JURISDICTION

- 9-11. Defendant, KIM CASEMORE, admits that this Honorable Court has jurisdiction over the parties but denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 10 and Paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
12. Defendant admits that this Court has the proper venue for this action.
- 13-16. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 for the reasons that said allegations are speculative, presumptive and conclusionary as applied to this Defendant.
- 17-26 Defendant makes no responsive statement to the other paragraphs in Plaintiff's Complaint as contained in Paragraphs 16-24 as they do not specifically apply or relate to this Defendant.

Discovery of Illegal Conduct

27. The Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

CAUSES OF ACTION

Count 1 - Damages for Violations of Cable Communication Policy Act (47 U.S.C. S605(a)(3)©)


- 28-33. Defendant, in general, denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 28, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 33 of Plaintiff's Complaint, as said allegations as applied to this Defendant, are speculative, presumptive and conclusionary

Count 2 - Damages for Violations of 18 U.S.C. S2511

- 34-37. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 34, 35, 36 and 37 of Plaintiff's Count 2 as they are speculative, presumptive and conclusionary as

WHEREFORE, Defendant, KIM CASEMORE prays that this Honorable Court dismiss
Plaintiff's Complaint as applied to her and that said action be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted,




A. Joseph Field
Attorney for Defendant, KIM CASEMORE

A. JOSEPH FIELD & ASSOCIATES
640 N. LaSalle Street
Suite 270
Chicago, IL 60610
(312) 642-4509

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 30, 2003, I served a copy of Defendant's Answer to Complaint by causing it to be hand-delivered to counsel of record as follows:

Paul Rettberg
Querrey & Harrow, Ltd.
175 West Jackson Blvd. Suite 1600
Chicago, IL 60604-2827

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "P. Rettberg", is written over a solid horizontal line. The signature is stylized and cursive.